

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,108	8 09/09/2003		Hironori Okado	116713	9829
25944	7590	11/17/2004		EXAM	MINER
OLIFF & B	ERRIDO	E, PLC		VY, H	UNG T
P.O. BOX 19	928				
ALEXANDR	IA, VA	22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2821	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					yon_
		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	•
		10/6	10/657,108 OKADO, HIRONG		RONORI
	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit	
			g T Vy	2821	
Ti Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commune	nication appears o	on the cover sheet	with the correspondent	ce address
THE MAI - Extension after SIX ( - If the peric - If NO peric - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN s of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of for reply specified above is less than thirty (so do for reply is specified above, the maximum s reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months stent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir munication. 30) days, a reply within t tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause t	n no event, however, may he statutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considere ONTHS from the mailing date of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	this communication.
Status					
2a)∐ Thi 3)∐ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) file is action is <b>FINAL</b> .  Ince this application is in condition sed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action for allowance ex	cept for formal ma	• •	to the merits is
Disposition	of Claims				
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn fro			
Application	Papers		<u>-</u>	- · · ·	
10)∭ The App Rep	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are plicant may not request that any objected the placement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the	: a) ☐ accepted ection to the drawin g the correction is i	g(s) be held in abey required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.850 ng(s) is objected to. See	37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				÷
a)	=	documents have documents have of the priority do onal Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in cuments have been r Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Noen received in this Nati	_
Attachment(s)	Pafaranaga Cited (PTO 900)		<b>4</b> ) □ 1=4== +=-	w Summon (DTO 442)	
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or (s)/Mail Date 10/17/2003.		Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Applicatior 	n (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/657,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Acknowledges

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the following items from the Applicant.

Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 10/17/2003. The references cited on the PTOL 1449 form have been considered.

#### **Foreign Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 11/27/2002, 3/04/2003 and 01/05/2003.

## **Specification**

3. The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Application/Control Number: 10/657,108 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims (see table below) of copending Application No. 10/667,347, No. 10/657,108, and No. 10/654432.

10/655,304	10/667,347	10/654,432	10/657,108
1,3 and 15	1,10	1,11	1,11 and 12

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in present application are similar to claims in copending application as shown.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,108

Art Unit: 2821

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of the AIPA and H.R. 2215 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the AIPA and H.R. 2215, applies to all qualifying references, except when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. For such patents, the prior art date is determined under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as it existed prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)).

Claims 1-5,7, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by Bancroft et al., U.S. patent No. 6,603,429.

Claim 1, Bancroft et al. disclose an antenna, comprising: ground pattern (50); and a planar element (18), which has a feed point (16) and is juxtaposed with said ground pattern (50), and wherein said planar element has a trimmed portion causing to continuously change a distance between said planar element (18) and said ground pattern (50)(See fig. 1A).

Claim 2, Bancroft et al. disclose an antenna, wherein said trimmed portion is formed from said feed point (16) toward a side opposite to said ground pattern (50)(See fig. 1A).

Application/Control Number: 10/657,108

Art Unit: 2821

Claim 3, Bancroft et al. disclose an antenna, wherein said planar element (18) and said ground pattern (50) are formed extending along counter directions (12) respectively (See fig. 1A).

Claim 4, Bancroft et al. disclose an antenna, wherein said ground pattern (50) is disposed without surrounding said planar element (18)(See fig. 1A).

Claim 5, Bancroft et al. disclose an antenna, wherein said distance from said trimmed portion of said planar element (18) to said ground pattern (50) is gradually increased as being farther away from said feed point (16) of said planar element (18)(See fig. 1A).

Claim 7, Bancroft et al. disclose an antenna, wherein said at least a part of an edge portion (42) other than said trimmed portion is formed so as to be opposite to the ground pattern side (50) of said planar element (18)(fig. 1A).

Claim 9, Bancroft et al. disclose an antenna, wherein said planar element (18) is symmetric with respect to a straight light (12) passing through said feed point (16) of planar element (Fig. 1).

Claim 12, Bancroft et al. disclose an antenna, comprising a ground pattern (30); and a planar element (18) that has a feed point (16) and whose edge portion opposite to said ground pattern (50) has a trimmed portion at which a distance with said ground pattern (50) is gradually increased from said feed point (16), and wherein said ground pattern (50) is juxtaposed with said planar element (18) without fully surrounding said edge portion of said planar element (18)(Fig. 1A).

Application/Control Number: 10/657,108 Page 6

Art Unit: 2821

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth insection 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Bancroft et al., U.S. patent No. 6,603,429 in view of Kaloi et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,151,532 or Ringeisen et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,605,012.

Claims 6 and 11, Bancroft et al. disclose all limitations of invention except for at least either one of a curved line and line segments, which are connected while their inclinations are changed stepwise. However, Kaloi at al. disclose at least either one of a curved line and line segments which are connected while their inclinations are changed stepwise (See fig. 9) or Ringeisen et al. disclose at least either one of a curved line (68,67) and line segments which are connected while their inclinations are changed stepwise (See fig. 5). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Bancroft et al. to have different shape of element as taught by Kaloi or Ringeisen et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide different shape of antenna element in order to have variety of different purposes and circumstances (See column 2, line 20-25 of Kaloi).

8. Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Bancroft et al., U.S. patent No. 6,603,429 in view of Bateman et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,157,344.

Claims 8 and 10, Bancroft et al. discloses all limitations of invention except for planar element has a cut-out portion formed at an edge portion opposite to the ground pattern side of said planar element. However, Baterman et al. disclose cut-out portion (See fig. 4). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Bancroft et al. to have cut-out portion as taught by Bateman et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide the cut-out portion in order to have equivalent properties to antennas that utilize the solid metal (See column 3, line 60-65).

#### **Citation of Pertinent References**

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The patent to Tomomatsu et al. disclose Antenna Apparuatus, U.S. Patent No. 6,720,924.

The patent to Walton discloses Dual Band Antenna for Vehichles, U.S. Patent No. 6,097,345.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,108

Art Unit: 2821

#### Conclusion

Page 8

13. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Vy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either private Pair or Public Pair. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private Pair only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hung T. Vy Art Unit 2821 November 11, 2004

Supervisory Patent Examine Technology Center 2800